

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

FILING DATE

APPLICATION NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Frademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/504,239	
EXAMINER	
J. K. PAT	7
ART UNIT PAPER	NUMBER
2623	
DATE MAILED: INTERVIEW SUMMARY	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	•
(1) JAY PATEL. US. PTO (3) GEOFF RHOADS, APPLICA	ANT
(2) SMR. STEVE STEWART, APP. REP. (4)	
Date of Interview $3 - 18 - 2002 # 45133$	
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant Applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	
Agreement was reached. Awas not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 8, 3, 9, 3 Identification of prior art discussed: RAMSAY et a.	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the assumption of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the assumption of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the appearance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the appearance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the appearance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the appearance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appears the appearance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments and appearance of the general nature of the general	and to
reginition in independent the including the lim	itatina-
Don't Com the Come of the Come	ocord.
A pase claims would overtome privation	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary there attached.)	allowable eof must be
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OF IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	F THE
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the obrejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this comp is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	iletea ioiiii
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.	/
FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96) Jayanti K. Patel rimary Examiner	$\mathcal{M}^{W}\setminus$